# LETTERS

# Enantioselective Organocatalytic Transfer Hydrogenation of 1,2-Dihydroquinoline through Formation of Aza-o-xylylene

Guangxun Li,\*<sup>,†</sup> Hongxin Liu,<sup>†</sup> Gang Lv,<sup>†</sup> Yingwei Wang,<sup>‡</sup> Qingquan Fu,<sup>†</sup> and Zhuo Tang<sup>\*,†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Natural Products Research Center, Chengdu Institution of Biology Chinese Academy of Science Chendu, Sichuan 610041, China <sup>‡</sup>College of Chemical Engineering, Sichuan University, Chendu Sichuan 610041, China

**(5)** Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** A new way of forming the aza-*o*-xylylene with easily accessible 1,2-dihydroquinolines as precursor has been developed. The presence of an electron-donating group at the proper position of 1,2-dihydroquinoline was crucial for protonation of the alkene through dearomatization with a simple Brønsted acid. The in situ forming reactive



intermediate was trapped with Hantzsch ester to afford tetrahydroquinolines in excellent yield and enantioselectivity.

A za-o-xylylene and o-quinone methide imine (AOX) (Scheme 1a) are highly reactive and unstable species,

Scheme 1. Paths for the Formation and Application of AOX



with the first documented occurrence in 1966.<sup>1</sup> Owing to their high reactivity, they could be trapped with an olefin and proceed through cycloaddition or electrocyclization reactions to produce a wide variety of heterocycles.<sup>2</sup> These highly unstable and reactive intermediates are generally formed in situ with different methods according to the precursor. For example, pyrolysis or photolysis of various precursors such as *o*-aminobenzyl alcohols or their (*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)amino derivatives were applied previously (A1) (Scheme 1a),<sup>2a,c</sup> and then more and more precursors such as dihydro-I, 3-benzoxazine-2-one,<sup>2g</sup> 2,1-benzisothiazoline 2,2-dioxide,<sup>2h</sup> 2-azidoindoles,<sup>2d</sup> and *N*-phenylbenzoazetine<sup>1</sup> were used for producing these useful intermediates more efficiently.

However, these precursors were inefficient due to the strict reaction conditions. Other methods for formation of **AOX** under milder reaction conditions such as dehydroxylation with BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O at room temperature (A1) (Scheme 1a),<sup>21</sup> fluoride-induced 1,4-elimination of [o-[(trimethylsilyl)alkylamino]-benzyl]trimethylammonium iodide (A2) (Scheme 1a),<sup>2b</sup> and palladium-mediated decarboxylation (A3) (Scheme 1a)<sup>3</sup> were restricted to special substrates which were difficult to obtain. As a result, a lack of efficient and mild synthetic methods to manipulate **AOX** likely contributed to the paucity of applications of these species.

1,2-Dihydroquinoline 1 was quite easy to obtain through the modified Skraup reaction with simple aromatic amine and ketone (Scheme 1b).<sup>4</sup> Our interest in this underexplored species stemmed from 1,2-dihydroquinoline 1 that might form **AOX** through dearomatization with catalytic Brønsted acid under mild conditions (Scheme 1b). We reasoned that increasing the nucleophilicity of the alkene moiety would allow the substrate to be protonated even by mild Brønsted acid based on the fact that dihydroquinoline 1 has been used as electrophile to react with benzene by catalytic AlCl<sub>3</sub>.<sup>5</sup> Adding an electron-donating group to the aromatic ring of 1 would easily improve the nucleophilicity of the alkene.

Moreover, a chiral Brønsted acid mediated AOX ion pair might be used as the electrophile to efficiently prepare chiral tetrahydroquinolines, which are very important skeletons found in numerous biologically active natural products and pharmacologically relevant therapeutic agents<sup>5,6</sup> (Figure 1). Herein, we report a mild strategy for dearomatization of 1,2dihydroquinolines to AOX in situ with catalytic amounts of Brønsted acid, which were then applied as efficient electrophiles to react with Hantzsch diethyl ester (HEH) to prepare chiral tetrahydroquinolines.

**Received:** July 15, 2015 **Published:** August 24, 2015



Figure 1. Pharmaceutical active tetrahydroquinolines.

As a model reaction to evaluate this concept, we chose the Hantzsch diethyl ester (HEH) as hydride source to reduce this active intermediate.<sup>7</sup> As a result, several 2,2,4-trimethyldihydroquinolines with different substituents on the phenyl ring were prepared and subjected to HEH with catalytic TsOH in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (Table 1). Neither dihydroquinoline 1a without

Table 1. Screening of Appropriate Dihydroquinolines

$r_{R}^{5}$ $r_{R}^{4}$ $r_{R}^{3}$ $r_{H}^{12}$	EtO <sub>2</sub> C + CO <sub>2</sub> Et + N CO <sub>2</sub> Et Cat. (5 mol % CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> , 25 °C		
entry <sup>a</sup>	1, R	cat.	yield <sup>b</sup> (%)
1	1a, R = H	TsOH	
2	<b>1b</b> , $R = 6$ -Cl	TsOH	
3	1c, $R = 6-CF_3$	TsOH	
4	1d, R = 6-Me	TsOH	
5	<b>1e</b> , R = 7-OCH <sub>3</sub>	TsOH	95
6	<b>1f</b> , $R = 6-OCH_3$	TsOH	
7	<b>1g</b> , R = 6,8-di-OCH <sub>3</sub>	TsOH	
8	<b>1e</b> , R = 7-OCH <sub>3</sub>	TFA	94
9	<b>1e</b> , R = 7-OCH <sub>3</sub>	Yb(OTf) <sub>3</sub>	93
10	<b>1e</b> , R = 7-OCH <sub>3</sub>	MgBr <sub>2</sub>	85
an			. ( . 1.0()

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: 0.2 mmol of 1, 0.24 mmol of 2, cat. (5 mol %), 2 mL of  $CHCl_3$  at 25 °C, nitrogen atmosphere. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield from 1.

substituent (Table 1, entry 1) nor dihydroquinolines 1b or 1c with electron-withdrawing groups such as 6-Cl or  $6\text{-}CF_3$  (Table 1, entries 2 and 3) could undergo the transfer hydrogenation to give the corresponding tetrahydroquinolines. The same results were found for dihydroquinoline 1d with a weak electron-donating group on the phenyl ring (Table 1, entry 4).

The above results were ascribed to the failure of formation of the **AOX** intermediate. We hypothesized that increasing the electron density of the phenyl ring by using a stronger electrondonating group would make the dihydroquinoline substrate form an **AOX** intermediate by dearomatization.<sup>8</sup> As expected, dihydroquinoline **1e** with a 7-methoxy on the phenyl ring could be efficiently transformed to the corresponding tetrahydroquinoline **3e** (Table 1, entry 5). Nevertheless, dihydroquinolines **If** and **1g** with 6-methoxy or 6,8-dimethoxy could not afford the corresponding product (Table 1, entries 6 and 7), which indicated that the substitution pattern of the electron-donating group on the proper position of the dihydroquinoline substrate was crucial for the dearomatization.

We then continued to investigate the reaction with different types of catalysts including Brønsted acid TFA (Table 1, entry 8), Strong Lewis acid Yb(OTf)<sub>3</sub> (Table 1, entry 9) or mild Lewis acid MgBr<sub>2</sub> (Table 1, entry 10). The results revealed that all of these catalysts could catalyze the reaction with excellent yield. Meanwhile, using chiral Brønsted acid as catalyst would allow the formation of an ionic pair between **AOX** and an optically active phosphoric anion,<sup>9</sup> which could be trapped with

**HEH** to provide chiral 2,2,4-trisubstituted tetrahydroquinolines. Therefore, we systematically investigated the asymmetric reduction of the dihydroquinolines with binol-derived chiral phosphoric acids **5**.

At the beginning, we optimized the reaction by screening different chiral catalysts with  $CH_2Cl_2$  as solvent at room temperature. The reaction results revealed that catalyst 5c was more efficient considering the enantioselectivity (enties 1–6, Table 2). We then screened the reaction solvent and found that



	2 5, Solvent HN		at. 5	5a, R = H 5b, R = Pt 5c, R = 9-p 5d, R = Si( 5e, R = 9-4 5f, R = 1-r	n hhenanthryl ph) <sub>3</sub> anthyl naphthyl
entry <sup>a</sup>	cat. 5 (mol %)	solvent	temp (°C)	ee <sup>b</sup> (%)	yield <sup>e</sup> (%)
1	5a (5)	$CH_2Cl_2$	25	11	98
2	<b>5b</b> (5)	$CH_2Cl_2$	25	11	96
3	<b>5c</b> (5)	$CH_2Cl_2$	25	68	95
4	5d (5)	$CH_2Cl_2$	25	30	89
5	<b>5e</b> (5)	$CH_2Cl_2$	25	10	88
6	<b>5f</b> (5)	$CH_2Cl_2$	25	40	92
7	<b>5c</b> (5)	toluene	25	75	94
8	<b>5c</b> (5)	THF	25	65	92
9	<b>5c</b> (5)	TBME	25	68	85
10	<b>5c</b> (5)	<i>m</i> -xylene	25	74	92
11	<b>5c</b> (5)	toluene	0	80	93
$12^d$	<b>5c</b> (5)	toluene	0	88	95
13 <sup>d</sup>	<b>5c</b> (5)	toluene	-10	86	85
14 <sup>d</sup>	<b>5c</b> (3)	toluene	0	88	92
15 <sup>d</sup>	<b>5c</b> (1)	toluene	0	86	80

<sup>*a*</sup>General conditions: 1 equiv of **1h** and 1.2 equiv of **4**. <sup>*b*</sup>Enatioselectivity was determined by HPLC with chiral AD-H. <sup>*c*</sup>The reaction yield were determined after purification by flash column; 4 Å molecular sieves were added.

toluene was more efficient in which the product was obtained with 75% ee (entries 7–10, Table 2). Decreasing the reaction temperature to 0 °C could greatly improve the enantioselectivity to 80% (entry 11, Table 2). Finally, addition of 4 Å molecular sieves could improve the enantioselectivity and yield (entry 12, Table 2). Further decreasing the reaction temperature to -10 °C did not improve the enantioselectivity but decreased the enantioselectivity and yield (entry 13, Table 2). Meanwhile, the catalyst loading was screened, which demonstrated that 3 mol % was better considering the yield and enantioselectivity (entries 14–15, Table 2). These preliminary studies revealed that the best conditions for the transfer hydrogenation of dihydroquinoline 1h were 1.2 equiv of dihydropyridine 2 and 3 mol % of catalyst 5c at 0 °C in toluene for 48 h with 4 Å molecular sieves as additive.

Under these optimized conditions, we explored the scope of the Brønsted acid catalyzed transfer hydrogenation of dihydroquinoline based on the in situ formed AOX (Scheme 2). Tetrahydroquinoline with one methoxy group (3e), two methoxy groups (3h,i), and three methoxy groups (3j), tetrahydroquinolines with different 7-alkoxy (3n-r), and even tetrahydroquinolines with 7-methoxy and different halogens at C-6 (3k-m) were obtained under the optimal reaction





conditions in good yields (68–95%) and excellent enantioselectivities (78–94%).

Meanwhile, different 7,8-benzotetrahydroquinolines without electron-donating groups (3s) or with alkoxy groups (3t-u) could be obtained with moderate to good yield (50-88%) and ee (65-92%). The absolute configuration of the product was detected by transfer 3e to 3v and assigned as 4S by X-ray crystallographic analysis (see the Supporting Information).

In summary, we succeeded in developing a new method for transferring 1,2-dihydroquinoline to the reactive **AOX** intermediate through dearomatization with catalytic Brønsted acid. The resulting intermediate formed in situ could be efficiently transfer hydrogenated with HEH. This method offers an opportunity to form an **AOX** intermediate under mild reaction conditions while avoiding the use of metals as well as tedious synthesis of substrate. Meanwhile, this method was validated in the presence of catalytic chiral Brønsted acid. The resulting chiral **AOX** was transfer hydrogenated with **HEH** to produce tetrahydroquinolines with excellent yield and enantioselectivity.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **Supporting Information**

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.5b02025.

Full experimental details and analytical data including NMR spectra and chiral HPLC analysis (PDF)

# AUTHOR INFORMATION

# **Corresponding Authors**

\*E-mail: ligx@cib.ac.cn.

\*E-mail: tangzhuo@cib.ac.cn.

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 21402188).

# REFERENCES

(1) Burgess, E. M.; McCullagh, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 1580.

(2) (a) Mao, Y.-L.; Boekelheide, V. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 1547. (b) Ito, Y.; Miyata, S.; Nakatsuka, M.; Saegusa, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 5250. (c) Fishwick, C. W. G.; Storr, R. C.; Manley, P. W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 1304. (d) Foresti, E.; Spagnolo, P.; Zanirato, P. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1989, 1354. (e) Barker, S. J.; Jones, G. B.; Randles, K. R.; Storr, R. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 953. (f) Bowen, R. D.; Davies, D. E.; Fishwick, C. W. G.; Glasbey, T. O.; Noyce, S. J.; Storr, R. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 4501. (g) Hodgetts, I.; Noyce, S. J.; Storr, R. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 5435. (h) Wojciechowski, K. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 7277. (i) Wiebe, J. M.; Caillé, A. S.; Trimble, L.; Lau, C. K. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 11705. (j) Martín, N.; Martínez-Grau, A.; Sánchez, L.; Seoane, C.; Torres, M. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 8074. (k) Consonni, R.; Croce, P. D.; Ferraccioli, R.; La Rosa, C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1996, 1809. (1) Caillé, A. S.; Trimble, L.; Berthelette, C.; Lau, C. K. Synlett 1996, 1996, 669. (m) Ohno, M.; Sato, H.; Eguchi, S. Synlett 1999, 1999, 207. (3) (a) Wang, C.; Tunge, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8118. (b) Wang, C.; Pahadi, N.; Tunge, J. A. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 5102.

(4) (a) Ranu, B. C.; Hajra, A.; Dey, S. S.; Jana, U. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 813. (b) Kamiguchi, S.; Takahashi, I.; Kurokawa, H.; Miura, H.; Chihara, T. Appl. Catal., A 2006, 309, 70. (c) Theoclitou, M.-E.; Robinson, L. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 3907. (d) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Premalatha, K.; Murty, M. S. R. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2007, 271, 161. (e) Kamakshi, R.; Reddy, B. S. R. Catal. Commun. 2007, 8, 825. (f) Edwards, J. P.; Ringgenberg, J. D.; Jones, T. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 5139. (g) Gutiérrez, R. U.; Correa, H. C.; Bautista, R.; Vargas, J. L.; Jerezano, A. V.; Delgado, F.; Tamariz, J. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 9614. (h) Zhu, Y.-W.; Qian, J.-L.; Yi, W.-B.; Cai, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 638. (i) Walter, H. Helv. Chim. Acta 1994, 77, 608.

(5) van Straten, N. C. R.; van Berkel, T. H. J.; Demont, D. R.; Karstens, W.-J. F.; Merkx, R.; Oosterom, J.; Schulz, J.; van Someren, R. G.; Timmers, C. M.; van Zandvoort, P. M. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 1697.

(6) (a) Hamann, L. G.; Higuchi, R. I.; Zhi, L.; Edwards, J. P.; Wang, X.-N.; Marschke, K. B.; Kong, J. W.; Farmer, L. J.; Jones, T. K. J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 623. (b) Manivannan, E.; Prasanna, S. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2005, 15, 4496. (c) Błaszczyk, A.; Skolimowski, J. Chem. Biol. Interact. 2006, 162, 70. (d) Roach, S. L.; Higuchi, R. I.; Adams, M. E.; Liu, Y.; Karanewsky, D. S.; Marschke, K. B.; Mais, D. E.; Miner, J. N.; Zhi, L. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 3504. (e) Sridharan, V.; Suryavanshi, P. A.; Menéndez, J. C. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 7157.

(7) (a) You, S.-L. Chem. - Asian J. 2007, 2, 820. (b) Connon, S. J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2007, 5, 3407. (c) Ouellet, S. G.; Walji, A. M.; Macmillan, D. W. C. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 1327. (d) Rueping, M.; Sugiono, E.; Schoepke, F. R. Synlett 2010, 2010, 852. (e) Aillerie, A.; Talancé, V. L. d.; Moncomble, A.; Bousquet, T.; Pélinski, L. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2982. (f) Rueping, M.; Sugiono, E.; Azap, C.; Theissmann, T.; Bolte, M. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3781. (g) Hoffmann, S.; Seayad, A. M.; List, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 7424–7427. (h) Storer, R. I.; Carrera, D. E.; Ni, Y.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 84. (i) Li, G.; Antilla, J. C. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1075.

(8) (a) Roche, S. P.; Porco, J. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 4068. (b) Zhuo, C.-X.; Zhang, W.; You, S.-L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12662. (c) López Ortiz, F.; Iglesias, M. J.; Fernández, I.; Andújar Sánchez, C. M.; Ruiz Gómez, G. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 1580. (d) Ding, Q.; Zhou, X.; Fan, R. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 4807. (e) Pouységu, L.; Deffieux, D.; Quideau, S. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 2235. (f) Wang, S.-G.; You, S.-L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 2194. (9) (a) Akiyama, T. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5744. (b) Terada, M. Synthesis 2010, 2010, 1929. (c) Parmar, D.; Sugiono, E.; Raja, S.; Rueping, M. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 9047. (d) Wang, S.-G.; Zhang, W.; You, S.-L. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1488. (e) Rueping, M.; Antonchick, A. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 4562. (f) Rueping, M.; Antonchick, A. P.; Theissmann, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3683.